IC 75-1307 7 January 1975

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Reactions to RONI

1.	I have now shown our sample issue of the Review of
	Intelligence to several interested people and have
	their initial reactions:

a. Dick Lehman, D/OCI, and his Deputy,

read the RONI with some considerable interest. They have no major problems. Lehman, in fact, said he liked it very much.

- b. Ed Proctor, DDI, wondered who the primary audience for RONI is. He suggested that it not be shown to consumers and others outside the Intelligence Community. He is afraid that criticism of this nature being aired outside the immediate Community would harm relations with those being criticized. If we wish a constructive response, we should--in addition to being diplomatic--keep matters of interest to production offices within production circles. Proctor enjoyed reading the sample issue and had no specific criticisms.
- c. Danny Graham's comments have been transmitted to me by memorandum. They are, in essence, the most critical we have received. The principal problem, as I see it, is that Graham denies us the right to regularly review the DIN because, by his definition, it is not national intelligence. I feel that it most certainly is, but will not argue the point here, and would not wish to give up our right to review the DIN without further serious discussion.

SECRET

25X1

25X1

25X1

## **ILLEGIB**

25X1

2. I think we are in business, but we have two major questions to answer. One, raised by Proctor, concerns proper dissemination; the other, raised by Graham, concerns what we should be reviewing.
Chief, PRD/IC

25X1

Distribution:

Gen. Wilson

2 - PRD Staff

1 - Subject File

1 - PRD Chrono

1 - RWS

25X1 :mjs

2

## SECRET



The Product Review Division (PRD) of the Intelligence Community Staff is charged with supporting the Director of Central Intelligence and the United States Intelligence Board in their efforts to improve the intelligence produced by the various analytical components of the community. To this end, PRD reviews a wide variety of intelligence products (the NID, NIB, DIN, Sigint Summary, Interagency Memoranda, DIEs, NIEs, etc.) and evaluates substantive coverage in all of the basic categories of finished and semi-finished intelligence -current, research warning, and estimative. The results of these review and evaluation activities have hitherto been presented only in special surveys (e.g., post-mortem reports) and in informal reports to individual addressees. This publication, The Review of National Intelligence, represents an effort to bring the work of product review to bear on a broader set of interests and concerns; to present PRD's findings to a larger, community-wide audience; and to do so on a regular, more systematic, and more timely basis. Our fundamental purpose in this enterprise is wholly constructive: to provide the kind of critical appreciation of the community's performance which will provoke self-awareness, stimulate positive responses, and in general encourage all concerned to seek improvements in that performance.

## GUIDELINES FOR SOURCE REFERENCES

Caution must be exercised in using the source data shown because of the way(s) in which the data were derived. In many cases, a possible source must be identified solely from an article's classification. As a result, sources, e.g., open press, which cannot be identified from classification information alone, may often be overlooked in the process. Only the DINs invariably state source information. And even in the DINs, the relative contribution to an article of each listed source can rarely be determined. Accordingly, a simplified accounting procedure is used in which all discernible sources are counted as principal sources for an each article.